

Corrielus, Jean M.

From: Sandra Parker [sandramparker@charter.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 5:04 PM
To: Corrielus, Jean M.; Ly, Anh
Subject: me again, sorry

P.S. Pardon my analogy here but claim 3 language means:

In cl. 1 a book of matches (OPCODE) is augmented with a green lighter (a pointer).

In cl. 3, the green lighter is replaced with a red lighter. Therefore, the matches (OPCODE) of cl. 1 are augmented with a red lighter (second pointer) here.

Corrielus, Jean M.

From: Sandra Parker [sandramparker@charter.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 4:50 PM
To: Corrielus, Jean M.; Ly, Anh
Subject: you know the appl. number

Dear Examiners,

please find enclosed the further explanation of where the language of claims 3 and 6 is found in the Specification.

1. Lines 3-4 of claim 3 are described in three places: on p. 8, li. 5-7, p. 3 li. 7 and p. 7, li 13-14. Line 5 of claim 3 is described on p. 7, li. 15-17.

2. Line 2 of claim 6 is described on p. 3, li. 8, etc.

Page 7 li. 13-14 states that a pointer is replaced with a pointer, instead of the claim 3 language that the first OPCODE is augmented with a replacement pointer. However, this is clear when we look on li. 8 of claim 1. Because there the OPCODE is augmented with a pointer (cl. 1), when we replace that pointer with another pointer (Spec. p. 7, 13-14), the OPCODE (cl. 1) also gets augmented with the second pointer (cl. 3). Therefore, the language of claim 3 is correct - we started at OPCODE and that is what we are augmenting with a pointer. Moreover, the language on p. 3 and p. 8 is exactly the same as in the claim 3.

Therefore, I do not believe that there is any ambiguity or incorrect language in the claims.

Please call me at 562/597-7504 to discuss.

Thanks,
Sandra Parker

Corrielus, Jean M.

From: Sandra Parker [sandramparker@charter.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 12:55 PM
To: Corrielus, Jean M.; Ly, Anh
Subject: 09/628,599

Dear Examiner Corrielus,
thank you for your voice message. As per Examiner Ly's phone call, he will talk to you about the change you require to see either in the specification or the claims so that this application is allowed. The subject matter of claim 3 can be found, in addition of page 7 that you wrote about in Examiner's Interview Summary, also on pages 4, 6 and 8, as I indicated to him and tried to tell you during our interviews. Please check all the claims and inform me about the ones that you are having problems with.

I requested a written Authorization for e-mailing from the Assignee and hope to get it soon.

Best regards,
Sandra Parker